

FCPF Technical Advisory Panel

Uruguay RPP-Revised Version: TAP Comments & Recommendations

December, 2013
FCPF Participants Committee
16th meeting, Geneva,
Switzerland

For the Uruguay TAP team
Tomás Schlichter and Eduardo Morales
and 6 individual reviewers



Uruguay is mostly an urban society

- Population: 3.300.000 million
- Rural population: 5%
- Surface 176,000 Km²

• Forests cover 10%

- Forest Plantations: 990,000 has

- Native Forest: 850.000 has



Strengths of the R-PP

- Proposed Institutional Arrangement includes the main stakeholders, including National Institutions, Municipalities, Private Sector, NGOs, Unions, etc. (1a).
- Land use change patterns are well explained (2a).
- Strategic Options are realistic and well described (2b).
- Development of the Reference Level (3) and the MRV (4a) intends to combine NFI with Greenhouse Gas emissions national inventory.

Standard	Informal R-PP, October 3, 2013	Formal Review, November 15, 2013
1a. National Readiness Management Arrangements	Standard Partially Met	Standard Largely Met
1b. Information Sharing and Stakeholder Dialogue	Standard Not Met	Standard Partially Met
1c. Consultation and Participation Process	Standard Partially Met	Standard Largely Met
2a. Land Use, Forest Law, Policy and Governance	Standard Partially Met	Standard Largely Met
2b. REDD+ Strategy Options	Standard Largely Met	Standard Met
2c. Implementation Framework	Standard Partially Met	Standard Largely Met
2d. Social & Environmental Impacts during Preparation and Implementation	Standard Met	Standard Met
3. Reference Level	Standard Partially Met	Standard Met
4a. Monitoring – Emissions and Removals	Standard Partially Met	Standard Largely Met
4b. Other Multiple Benefits, Impacts and Governance	Standard Not Met	Standard Partially Met
5. Schedule and Budget	Standard Largely Met	Standard Met
6. Program Monitoring & Evaluation Framework	Standard Not Met	Standard Met

Areas Needing Further Work

- Institutional arrangement needs to be established (1a).
- Early Dialogue and Information with stakeholders should begin as soon as possible (1b).
- Rate of deforestation and intensity of forest degradation should be quantified (2a).
- Implementation framework (2c): additional description of stakeholders participation is required.
- MRV on other multiple benefits, impacts and governance needs further development, including indicators of socio-economic and environmental benefits (4b).